UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,777	06/05/2009	Wen Gao	15098.0007USWO	4953
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 12/17/201 & GOULD PC	0	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903			TORRENTE, RICHARD T	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2482	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurs as an	10/584,777	GAO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	RICHARD TORRENTE	2482					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	entember 2009.						
·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
<u> </u>							
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 	yn from consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	опосион годинатисти						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2006</u> is/are: a)	• • •	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	- · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•		` '				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	· · ·						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Drafts, erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application					
S Patent and Trademark Office	5, <u> </u>						

Art Unit: 2482

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because implied phrase "discloses" is used. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "integering" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2482

Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent¹ and recent Federal Circuit decisions² indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. While the instant claim(s) recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, the claim(s) neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. For example, the "obtaining motion vector" method is of sufficient breadth that it would be reasonably interpreted as a series of steps completely performed mentally, verbally or without a machine. The applicant has provided no explicit and deliberate definitions of "obtaining motion vector" to limit the steps to the electronic form of the "a method for determining".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

¹ Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

² In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Art Unit: 2482

7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "Tp". Tp is not defined in the claim.

8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "MV denotes a motion vector forward reference frame". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 9. Claim 1 recites the limitation "a/b denotes integering". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 1 recites the limitation "a forward motion vector MV_B ". The limitation is not shown in the specification.
- 11. Claim 1 recites the limitation "shift_len" under "else MVf(i)" has an unknown symbol
- 12. Claim 3 recites the limitation "shift_len" under "else MVf(i)" has an unknown symbol
- 13. Claim 5 recites the "tp?tb x scale_factor" has an unknown symbol.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RICHARD TORRENTE whose telephone number is (571) 270-3702. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2482

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Young Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2482

/Richard Torrente/ Examiner, Art Unit 2482